UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,481	06/12/2006	Detlef Potoradi	POTORADI-2	3177
	7590 04/29/200 EREISEN, LLC	EXAMINER		
350 FIFTH AV		NGUYEN, HANH N		
SUITE 4714 NEW YORK, N	NY 10118		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/560,481	POTORADI, DETLEF			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HANH N. NGUYEN	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 .	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 June 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So obtion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. In view of amendments, the Examiner withdraws the objection to the drawings and the objection to claims 6-8.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 6-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: "85%, 90% and 80% ±10%" should be written as:--- 85%, 90% and 80% ±10% of the slot pitch---. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al (US 7,067,948). in view of Huth (US 6,664,690) and further in view of Sibata (provided by the Applicant as US 5,220,228).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Yamaguchi et al. disclose a permanent-magnet excited synchronous motor, comprising: a stator (21 in Fig. 4) having a plurality of tooth coils (22 in) and a rotor (30 in Figs. 3 and 4) interacting with the stator and constructed to dampen both fifth harmonic and seventh harmonic (Figs. 8 and 9 show the torque for fifth and seven harmonics are reduced by skewed angle, therefore both fifth harmonic and seventh harmonic are

Application/Control Number: 10/560,481

Art Unit: 2834

dampened), wherein at least one of the rotor and stator has a skew (Col. 4, lines 5-7). Nakano et al. fail to show the rotor has skew of 3/5 (or 3/7 as in claim 7) of a slot pitch with respect to the synchronous motor and wherein the pole coverage is of between 85% and 90% (or  $80\% \pm 10\%$  of the pole pitch as in claim 7).

However, Huth discloses a permanent-magnet excited motor having rotor pole coverage having the range from 75% - 80% of the pole pitch (Col. 2, lines 10-14) for the purpose of reducing cost (Col. 1, lines 55-60).

Moreover, Sibata discloses a permanent magnet excited motor wherein the rotor poles are skewed with a skew angle in the haft of a slot pitch range (Col. 3, line 25) for the purpose of reducing cogging torque.

Since Nakano et al., Huth and Sibata are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Huth and Sibata would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nakano et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Yamaguchi et al. by forming the rotor has skew of 3/5 (or 3/7 as in claim 7) of a slot pitch with respect to the synchronous motor and wherein the pole coverage is of between 85% and 90% (or 80% ±10% of the pole pitch as in claim 7) as taught by Huth and Sibata for the purpose of reducing cogging torque and reducing cost.

Moreover, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, it is noted that all limitation of the claimed invention has been fulfilled by Yamaguchi et al., Huth and Sibata as in claims 6 and 7 because the limitation "wherein the total skew between the rotor and stator" in claims 8 and 9 is equivalent to the limitation: "at least one of the rotor and stator has a skew" of claims 6 and 7.

Regarding claims 10, 12, 14 and 16, Sibata also shows the permanent-magnet excited synchronous motor wherein the rotor comprises a plurality of permanent magnets (12a in Figs. 2A and 2B) and the permanent magnets are arranged or magnetized in an axial direction of the rotor so as to provide a desired rotor skew.

Regarding claims 11, 13, 15 and 17, Sibata also shows the permanent-magnet excited synchronous motor wherein the permanent magnets are selected from the group consisting of cup-shaped magnets (Fig. 2A).

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Page 5

HNN

April 24, 2008

/Nguyen N Hanh/

Examiner, Art Unit 2834

2.